

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date
8 November 2001 (08.11.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/84794 A1(51) International Patent Classification: **H04L 12/66**

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/14272

(22) International Filing Date: 3 May 2001 (03.05.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English


(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
09/564,876 4 May 2000 (04.05.2000) US(71) Applicant: **MCI WORLDCOM, INC.** [US/US]; 515 East
Amite Street, Jackson, MI 39201 (US).(72) Inventors: **GALLANT, John, K.**; 1800 Azurite Trail,
Plano, TX 75075 (US). **DONOVAN, Steven, R.**; 704 For-
est Bend Drive, Plano, TX 75025 (US).(74) Agent: **GROLZ, Edward, W.**; Scully, Scott, Murphy &
Presser, 400 Garden City Plaza, Garden City, NY 11530
(US).(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM,
HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK,
LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX,
MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL,
TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,
IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF,
CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).**Published:**

— with international search report

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DYNAMIC GATEWAY SELECTION IN AN IP TELEPHONY NETWORK

(57) Abstract: This invention relates to the field of IP telephony. More particularly, this invention is a method and system for select-
ing gateways for routing calls through a packet-based telecommunications network interconnected with a public telecommunications
network. This invention is a method and system for dynamically detecting available gateways (110a, 110b), dynamically removing
failed or unavailable gateways (110a, 110b), and automatically recovering failed or unavailable gateways after a predetermined pe-
riod of time. An embodiment of this invention comprises two user agents (102, 103) within two telephony systems (114a, 114b) that
are connected to an IP network (112); a plurality of gateways (110a, 110b); an IP telephony proxy server (106); an IP redirect server
(104); and a network management system (108).

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DYNAMIC GATEWAY SELECTION
IN AN IP TELEPHONY NETWORK

5

10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTIONField of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to the field of IP telephony, and more particularly to a method and system for selecting gateway(s) for routing calls through a packet-based telecommunications network interconnected with a public telecommunications network.

15

Acronyms

The written description herein uses a number of acronyms to refer to various services, messages and system components. Although generally known, use of several of these acronyms is not strictly standardized in the art. For purposes of this discussion, several acronyms will be defined as follows:

20

Dynamic Gateway Selection (DGS) - a process employed by a network server and a Redirect Server (RS) to allow calls to be routed to an egress gateway.

Egress (Destination) and Ingress (Origination) Gateways - gateways connecting an internet protocol network to a PSTN, PBX or other network.

25

Network Management System (NMS) - performs a variety of functions, including receiving and storing status changes to destination or egress gateways.

Description of the Prior Art

30

Internet telephony provides real-time delivery of voice, and other multimedia data, between two or more parties across a network employing Internet protocols (IP). Internet telephony is session-based rather than connection-based. That is, Internet telephony uses virtual connections or circuits to pass data between two nodes. These connections between the nodes are termed "virtual circuits" to distinguish them from dedicated circuits of conventional networks.

While IP telephony offers benefits to both users and carriers in terms of costs and versatility of media carried, there are a substantial amount of traditional telephones being serviced by the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN). The PSTN provides users with dedicated, end-to-end circuit connections for the duration of each call. Circuits are reserved between an originating switch and a terminating switch based on a called party number.

However, because of the popularity of the Internet, many public telecommunications networks now carry significantly more IP data traffic than voice data traffic. Public telecommunications networks, optimized for voice traffic, are ill-equipped to handle increasing data traffic volumes. The growth in IP data traffic coupled with customer demands for integrated voice and data services at lower costs has led to the adoption of IP as the preferred protocols for carrying both voice and data traffic between originating and terminating switches of the public telecommunications network.

Accordingly, in order for IP based telephony services to become broadly accepted by users of traditional telephones, it is necessary to interface the IP telephony network with the existing PSTN and with private PBX phone networks. To permit this mode of operation, a packet-based network, such as the Internet, must interface directly with public telephone networks and operate as a bridge between originating and destination switches of such networks.

Media streams which originate from a public network must be capable of being transported through the packet-switched IP network and terminate at the same or different public network. This type of interfacing is performed by gateways which interface the signaling and bearer paths between the two networks. Therefore, Internet gateways perform code and protocol conversion between two otherwise incompatible networks to provide links necessary to send packets between the two different networks and thus make network-to-network connections possible. To assure overall system reliability it is crucial that the gateways are reliable and their availability, especially destination or egress gateways, be monitored for quickly and efficiently selecting an available gateway.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the principles of the invention, a method and system are provided by which an egress (i.e., destination) gateway is dynamically selected to establish a communication

session over a path supported at least in part by an IP telephony network and a PSTN, PBX or other network. A redirect server (RS) in concert with a network management system (NMS) employs a gateway selection methodology which includes recording egress gateways which are not available due to several reasons, such as having timed out, or having a malfunction, i.e., failure status.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a method is provided for dynamically selecting an egress gateway to allow a call to be completed in a communication session over a path supported at least in part by an IP telephony network and a PSTN, PBX or other network.

The IP telephony network includes a plurality of ingress and egress gateways, at least one Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy server (SPS) and at least one redirect server (RS). A dynamic gateway selection (DGS) feature is always active and is typically invoked whenever a source user agent (SUA) initiates a call attempt by sending a session participation request to the SPS.

The preferred method generally includes the steps of: receiving a call setup request at the SPS from the SUA. The SPS forwards the request to the RS to obtain information of destination gateways. The RS responds to the SIP session participation request with either a redirection response or a request failure response. The RS redirection response includes a routing list. The routing list is a list of egress (i.e., destination) gateways that are determined to be in-service. Upon receipt of a redirection response from the RS, the SPS proxies the session participation request to a first destination gateway in the routing list. Otherwise, the RS returns a failure response which is sent to the SUA. The failure response is an indication that there are no destination gateways having an in-service status.

When the SPS proxies the request to a destination gateway, the SPS waits for a final response from the selected destination gateway. The SPS will either receive a final response or time-out. When the SPS receives a final response from the destination gateway, the SPS proxies the final response to the SUA, awaits an acknowledgment and proxies the acknowledgment. Otherwise, when the SPS times-out waiting for a final response from the destination gateway, the SPS re-sends the request a predetermined number of times. If the SPS times-out for a final time, the SPS sends the session participation request to the next destination gateway in the routing list provided by the RS.

The process of sending a request a predetermined number of times is repeated for the next destination gateway in the routing list until one of the following occurs: (1) a success response is returned; (2) the SPS times-out waiting for a final response, as described above with respect to the first destination gateway in the routing list; (3) the SPS receives an unsuccessful
5 final, non-server failure response from the currently selected destination gateway; or (4) the destination gateway returns a server failure response, in which case the SPS informs the RS of the destination gateway failure.

In case of the second to fourth situations, the SPS sends the session participation request to other destination gateways in the routing list provided by the RS. For each destination
10 gateway that returns a gateway failure response to the SPS, the destination gateway is recorded as an out-of-service destination gateway in the RS and is dynamically removed from the routing list. Therefore, subsequent requests are not sent to destination gateways which are recorded as out-of-service destination gateways in the RS until after a predetermined amount of time. After the predetermined amount of time has elapsed, the RS automatically recovers failed or out-of-
15 service pathways and issues a report to a network management system (NMS) indicating that the destination gateway is back in service. Table structures, stored within the RS, are updated on a real-time basis when it is determined that a gateway is out-of-service or back in-service. When the session participation request has been sent to all destination gateways and no successful response is received, the SPS returns a final response to the originating agent or calling party
20 indicating that a call cannot be made.

In an alternative method, availability of destination gateways is determined using a ping method. In this method, gateway availability is determined by sending at least one packet to each destination gateway to ascertain whether the destination gateway is available, or in-service. If the destination gateway is in-service, it transmits an ACK message. If an ACK message is
25 not received after a predetermined period of time, the destination gateway (DGW) is determined to be unavailable. The ping method preferably queries each destination gateway one-by-one and updates gateway information tables by recording the status of each destination gateway.

The present invention thereby provides several functions, including: (1) dynamic detection of failed and unavailable gateways; (2) dynamic removal of failed and unavailable
30 gateway(s) from a routing list, gateway information table, etc., after a predetermined period of

time; and (3) automatic recovery of failed and unavailable gateways by updating gateway status tables after a predetermined period of time.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 Various preferred embodiments are described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a flowchart illustrating signaling and call setup procedures according to the embodiment of FIG. 1;

10 FIG. 3 is a call flow diagram illustrating the signaling and call setup procedures according to the embodiment of FIG. 1; and

FIG. 4 is a flowchart illustrating an alternate embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

15 Preferred embodiments of the present invention will now be described with reference to the figures where like reference numbers indicate identical or similar elements. It will be apparent to persons skilled in the relevant art that the present invention can operate on many different types of networks without departing from the scope of the present invention. In the preferred embodiments described herein, the IP telephony network is preferably the Internet.
20 Other examples of networks which could be used include leased lines, frame relay, and asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) networks.

The present invention provides a method and system for selecting an egress or destination gateway to establish a communication session over a path supported at least in part by an IP telephony network, such as a WAN, and a PSTN, PBX or other network. The method
25 further determines the status of a destination gateway, particularly, as being either in-service or out-of-service, and automatically brings a destination gateway back into service from an out-of-service state after a predetermined amount of time.

Referring now to the drawings, and first to FIG. 1, a system according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention is designated generally by reference numeral 10. System
30 10 is a telephony network system and includes a first public switched telephone network (PSTN)

114a which interfaces to an IP telephony network or Internet 112. The Internet 112 is further interfaced to a second PSTN 114b. The first PSTN 114a includes a source user agent (SUA) 102, i.e., originating agent, which originates a session participation request. The second PSTN 114b includes a called party destination user agent (DUA 103). The Internet 112 includes a redirect server (RS) 104, an SIP proxy server (SPS) 106, a Network Management System (NMS) 108, and destination gateways (DGWs) 110a, 110b. While only two DGWs are shown, one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that additional DGWs may be provided. The RS 104 supports a gateway management function which tracks the status of the DGWs. The NMS 108 receives and stores all status changes regarding DGWs 110a, 110b. Status changes are reported to the NMS 108 by the RS 104 via the SPS 106. SPS 106 acts as both a server and client for the purpose of making requests on behalf of other clients. SPS 106 provides proxy server and gateway resource management functions. SPS 106 may be a SIP proxy server or an H.323 gatekeeper.

The method of the present invention (i.e., dynamic gateway selection (DGS) and removal) is performed by the SPS 106 and RS 104 in context with the NMS 108 in order to allow calls to be routed to one of the DGWs 110a, 110b. The dynamic gateway selection and removal feature is particularly invoked upon receipt by the RS 104 of a session participation request. The SUA 102 initiates a call attempt to transmit the session participation request to the SPS 106. Accordingly, an attempt is made to establish a communication session between the SUA 102 located in the first PSTN 114a and the called party destination device (DUA) 103 located in the second PSTN 114b. PSTN 114a and 114b are bridged via the Internet 112.

FIG. 2 is a flowchart illustrating the call routing logic for establishing a communication session in accordance with the methodology of the present invention. At step 702, a user initiates a call attempt by sending a session participation request (i.e., an INVITE request) to the SPS 106. When the INVITE request is an initial request, the SPS 106 forwards the initial INVITE request to the RS 104 for routing instructions at step 704. At step 705, it is determined

whether there is at least one DGW that can satisfy the request. If so, at step 706, the RS 104 responds to the SPS 106 query with a routing list, i.e., a list of candidate DGWs that can handle the call. The RS 104 supplies the routing list from a gateway information table stored therein.

In the event the RS 104 determines that there are no DGWs that can satisfy the INVITE request, at step 705, a request failure response is returned to the SUA 102. at step 707. Upon receiving the routing list, the SPS 106 proxies the INVITE request to one of the DGWs 110a, 110b. At step 708, the SPS 106 selects the first DGW 110a in the routing list to determine its serviceability and/or availability status. Steps 710 and 712 determine whether the currently selected DGW 110a from the routing list is in a failure state or has timed out. Specifically, at step 710, a determination is made concerning whether the DGW 110a returns a failure response (i.e., out-of-service response). If there is a failure response at step 710, the SPS 106 reports the gateway failure to the RS 104 at step 714. The RS 104 marks the selected DGW 110a as an out-of-service destination gateway in a gateway information table stored in the RS 104. at step 716.

In addition, the SPS 106 sends a message, (i.e., Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) trap) to the NMS 108 indicating a destination gateway failure. The SPS 106 then selects the next DGW 110b in the routing list, at step 718. Control then returns to step 710 to determine the availability of the next selected DGW 110b; that is, whether the next selected gateway 110b is in a failure state or has timed out. If the next selected DGW 110b returns either a failure response at step 710, or times-out at step 712, then steps 714-718 are repeated. In short, the process of selecting a gateway from the routing list and determining whether it is in a failure state or has timed out is repeated until a DGW is found from the routing list which accepts a call with a success response at step 720. When a success response is received, the SPS 106 forwards

the response to the calling user SUA 102 at step 722. The media stream for the call is then set up at step 724 to establish a communication link between the SUA 102 and DUA 103.

FIG. 3 is a call routing flow diagram illustrating in greater detail the call routing logic procedure described above with reference to FIG. 2. Table 1 below lists the INVITE required parameter fields in the preferred embodiment when a SUA 102 initiates a call attempt by sending a session participation request (i.e., an INVITE request) to the SPS 106 (See FIG. 1, item 1 and FIG. 3, step "a").

Table 1

| Parameter | Usage |
|--------------|--|
| Request-Line | Contains the method (e.g., INVITE), Request Uniform Resource Identifier (i.e., Request-URI) of the SPS and protocol version |
| To | Contains the address of the recipient of the request |
| From | Contains the address of the initiator of the request |
| Call-ID | Uniquely identifies the invitation |
| Cseq | Contains the request method and a decimal sequence number chosen by the requesting client, unique within a single value of the Call-ID |
| Via | Indicates the path taken by the request so far |

The SIP INVITE is addressed to the called party DUA 103 at a proxy address at the SPS 106. The SIP INVITE specifies the real IP address of the DUA 103. Upon receipt of the SIP INVITE, the SPS 106 sends a 100 trying message to the ingress or origination gateway 105 (FIG. 3, step "b"). Table 2 lists the mandatory fields associated with the 100 trying response message in the preferred embodiment.

Table 2

| Parameter | Usage |
|-----------|-------|
|-----------|-------|

| | |
|-------------|---|
| Status-Line | Status Code = 100. Reason phrase and protocol version |
| To | Content copied from the original request message |
| From | Content copied from the original request message |
| Call-ID | Content copied from the original request message |
| Cseq | Content copied from the original request message |
| Via | Indicates the path taken by the request so far. Add the received-tag parameter if the previous address is incorrect in the via header field |

The SPS 106 counts the number of INVITE requests received. When a received request message does not contain a route header field, it is determined to be an initial INVITE request message. In such a case, the SPS 106 performs the following steps: (1) if a Topmost Via Header (TVH) source address is incorrect, adds a "Received" parameter (or replaces the existing one if there is one) with the source package to the Via header field inserted by the previous hop; (2) generates an internal Call-ID; or (3) forwards the requested message to the RS 104 (See FIG. 1, item 2 and FIG. 3, step "c"). Table 3 lists the required fields in the RS INVITE request message.

Table 3

| Parameter | Usage |
|-------------|--|
| Status-Line | Content copied from the original request message |
| To | Content copied from the original request message |
| From | Content copied from the original request message |
| Call-ID | Internally generated Call-ID |
| Cseq | Content copied from the original request message |
| Via | Add the received tag |

In response to receiving the RS INVITE request message from the SPS 106, the RS 104 performs the following: (1) counts the number of INVITE messages received; and (2) determines

that the user portion of Request Uniform Resource Identifier (i.e., (Request-URI) is less than or equal to 15 digits and does not contain a leading 0 or 1. The gateway information table stored in RS104 is used to create an updated routing list.

For example, when a gateway address is marked as out-of-service in the gateway information table stored in RS 104 and its associated time value is zero, the gateway address is not added to the routing list. When the gateway address is marked as out-of-service in the gateway information table and its associated time value is greater than the current absolute RS time, the gateway address is not added to the routing list. When the gateway address is marked as out-of-service in the gateway information table and its associated time value is less than or equal to the current absolute RS time, the gateway address is added to the routing list and the gateway address is marked as in-service in the gateway information table. The RS 104 also sends a message, i.e., the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) trap, to the NMS 108 indicating that the DGW is in-service. If there is only one gateway in the routing list, the RS 104 will send a 302 response message back to the SPS 106 (See FIG. 1, item 3 and FIG. 3, step "d").

The RS 104 increments a counter which counts the number of 3xx messages sent. Table 4 lists the required fields in the 3xx (302 in the present case) response message and an example of the contact address list.

Table 4

| Parameter | Usage |
|-------------|---|
| Status-Line | Status Code = 302, Reason phrase and protocol version |
| To | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |
| From | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |
| Call-ID | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |

| | |
|---------|---|
| Cseq | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |
| Via | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |
| Contact | Multiple gateway addresses |

Table 5 lists the required fields in the SNMP trap message to the NMS.

Table 5

| Parameter | Usage |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Protocol Data Unit (PDU) Type | Indicates that this is a Trap PD |
| Enterprise | Identifies the network-management subsystem that generated the trap. Its value is taken from sysObjectID in the system Group |
| Agent-addr | The IP address of the object generating the trap |
| Generic-trap | 6 = enterpriseSpecific. This value signifies that the sending protocol entity recognizes that some enterprise-specific event has occurred. The specific-trap field indicates the type of trap |
| Specific-trap | A code that indicate more specifically the nature of the trap |
| Time-stamp | The time between the last (re)initialization of the network entity that issued the trap and the generation of the trap |
| Variable bindings | The address of the gateway that returned the 5xx response and status (in-service) |

In the case where there is more than one gateway in the routing list, the RS 104 sends a
 5 300 response message, instead of a 302 response message for a single gateway, back to the SPS
 106. For a 300 response, the RS 104 also counts the number of 3xx responses sent. Table 6 lists
 the required fields in the 300 response message and an example of the contact address list.

Table 6

| Parameter | Usage |
|-------------|---|
| Status-Line | Status Code = 300. Reason phrase and protocol version |
| To | Same as the original INVITE |
| From | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |
| Call-ID | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |
| Cseq | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |
| Via | Content copied from the Original INVITE request |
| Contact | Multiple reachable addresses |

5 The SPS 106 counts the number of routing responses received from the RS 104. The SPS 106 sends an INVITE to the first DGW 110a, and inserts the "user=phone" header in each contact list address (See FIG. 1, item 4 and FIG. 3, step "e"). Table 7 lists the required fields of the INVITE request sent from the SPS 106 to the DGW 110a.

Table 7

| Parameter | Usage |
|--------------|--|
| Request-Line | Contains the method (e.g., INVITE). Request-URI using the gateway from the top of the unused contact list and protocol version |
| To | Content copied from the original request message |
| From | Content copied from the original request message |
| Call-ID | Content copied from the original request message |
| Cseq | Content copied from the original request message |
| Via | Add the NS URL to the top |
| Record Route | Request-URI of the NS |

The SPS 106 may receive a 100 trying response (see FIG. 3, step "f") or a 180 ringing response from the DGW 110a (See FIG. 3, step "g"). Table 8 lists the required fields of the 180 ringing response.

Table 8

| Parameter | Usage |
|-------------|---|
| Status-Line | Status Code = 180, Reason phrase and protocol version |
| To | Same as the original INVITE request plus tag |
| From | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Call-ID | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Cseq | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Via | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |

After receiving the 180 ringing response from the DGW 110a, the SPS 106 removes itself from the top of the Via field, re-starts the invite User Agent (UA) timer if it exists, and forwards the 180 ringing response to the ingress gateway (See FIG. 3, step "g"). The response message is sent to the address indicated in the Via header field. Table 9 lists the required message elements.

Table 9

| Parameter | Usage |
|-------------|--|
| Status Line | Content copied from the 180 response received from the gateway |
| To | Content copied from the 180 response received from the gateway |
| From | Content copied from the 180 response received from the gateway |
| Call-ID | Content copied from the 180 response received from the gateway |
| Cseq | Content copied from the 180 response received from the gateway |
| Via | Content copied from the 180 response received with the removal of the NS URL |

The SPS receives an INVITE response (i.e., 200 OK response) from the DGW 110a (See FIG. 3, step "h1"). Table 10 lists the required fields in the INVITE message.

Table 10

| Parameter | Usage |
|--------------|---|
| Status Line | Status Code = 200, Reason phrase and protocol version |
| To | Same as the original INVITE request plus tag |
| From | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Call-ID | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Cseq | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Record Route | Request-URI of the NS |
| Contact | The reachable address of the Egress Gateway |

5

After receiving the 200 OK response from the DGW 110a, the SPS 106 performs the following steps: (1) cancels the invite UA timer, if it exists; (2) removes the SPS URL from the Topmost Via Header (TMVH) field; (3) adds the next hop's Request-URI at the top of the record-route header field when either of the following conditions are met: a) there is no contact header field in the 200 OK response, or b) the SPS URL is on the top entry of the record-route header field; (4) counts the number of 200 INVITE responses sent by the SPS 106; and (5) the SPS 106 starts the ACK timer. The SPS 106 forwards the INVITE response (i.e., 200 OK response) to the ingress gateway (See FIG. 3, step "h1"). Table 11 lists the required headers in the INVITE response.

10

Table 11

| Parameter | Usage |
|--------------|--|
| Status-Line | Status Code = 200. Reason phrase and protocol version |
| To | Same as the original INVITE request plus tag |
| From | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Call-ID | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Cseq | Same as the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Via | Content from the INVITE request sent to the Egress Gateway |
| Record Route | Request-URI of the NS |
| Contact | The reachable address of the Egress Gateway |

The SPS 106 receives an ACK response from the ingress gateway and stops the ACK timer. The SPS 106 counts the number of ACK response messages received by the SPS 106. Table 12 lists the required headers of the ACK response (See Fig. 3, step "k").

Table 12

| Parameter | Usage |
|--------------|---|
| Request-Line | Contains method (e.g., ACK), Request-URI of the NS and protocol version |
| To | Same as the original INVITE plus the tag |
| From | Same as the original INVITE |
| Call-ID | Same as the original INVITE |
| Cseq | Same sequence number as the original INVITE |
| Via | UA originated |

The received ACK response may contain a route header field. The SPS 106 either proxies the ACK response using the address in the route header or uses the address in the "To" header to determine whether to proxy the ACK response or consume the ACK response. The ACK response will be consumed when the "To" header address is equal to the SPS address, and

no route header exists in the ACK response. When the SPS 106 determines that the ACK response should be proxied, the SPS 106 performs the following: (1) the SPS 106 adds the ACK's address to the top of the Via field; (2) the SPS 106 removes the top address from the route header field; (3) the Request-URI is set to the address located at the top of the route header field; and (4) the ACK message is forwarded to the DGW 110a based on the top address in the route header field if it exists or based on the call context's DGW information (See FIG. 3, step "1"). Accordingly, the DGW 110a is selected as the available gateway for completing the call. If the DGW 110a is determined to be unavailable, the same method outlined above is used to determine if DGW 110b is available. If neither DGW is available, a message is sent to the SUA 102 that the call cannot be completed. Table 13 lists the parameters of the ACK request message sent to the DGW 110a.

Table 13

| Parameter | Usage |
|--------------|---|
| Request-Line | Contains method (e.g., ACK), Request-URI is copied from the top list of Route header field and protocol version |
| To | Content copied from the ACK received from the Ingress Gateway |
| From | Content copied from the ACK received from the Ingress Gateway |
| Call-ID | Content copied from the ACK received from the Ingress Gateway |
| Cseq | Content copied from the ACK received from the Ingress Gateway |
| Via | UA originated with the NS URL added to the top of Via field |

In another preferred method, a DGW is selected using a ping method. In this embodiment, gateway availability is determined by sending at least one packet to each

destination gateway to ascertain whether the destination gateway is available, or in-service. Accordingly, if the destination gateway is in-service, it transmits an ACK message. If an ACK message is not received after a predetermined period of time, the DGW is determined to be unavailable. The ping method preferably queries each destination gateway one-by-one and updates a gateway information table by recording the status of each gateway. For example, if the ACK message is received, the DGW is then checked to determine if it is available. If it is available, its address is stored in a gateway information table, and the process repeats for the next DGW.

FIG. 4 is a flowchart illustrating the methodology of an alternate embodiment of the present invention, i.e., the ping method. A counter is initialized at step 800 to indicate the currently selected destination gateway from the routing list (i.e., $i=1$ to the number of gateways in the routing list). In step 802, a message is transmitted from a server (e.g. proxy server, redirect server) to the i th destination gateway for the purpose of obtaining a response. In step 804 the server awaits a response from the i th destination gateway. Step 806 is a determination step to determine whether a response was received from the i th destination gateway. If a response is not received within a predetermined amount of time, the process continues to step 807 where the i th gateway is marked as out of service or unavailable. In step 808, it is determined whether there are additional destination gateways to check from the routing list. If not, the process terminates at step 810. Otherwise, the counter is incremented to select a succeeding destination gateway from the routing list at step 812.

Steps 802-806 are then repeated to check the response and/or availability of the succeeding (i.e. $i + 1$) destination gateway from the routing list. If it is determined at step 806 that a response is received within the predetermined time, the process continues to step 814

where it is then further determined whether the responding destination gateway is available or not. If the destination gateway is not available, the process returns to step 807 where the destination gateway is marked as out of service or unavailable. In step 808, it is then determined whether there are additional destination gateways to check from the routing list. If so, steps 802-
5 806 are repeated for the succeeding destination gateway. Otherwise, if it is determined at step 814 that the responding destination gateway is available, the process continues at step 816 where the destination gateway is marked as available in a gateway status table. The process returns to step 808 to determine if there are additional destination gateways to be checked in the routing list.

10 What has been described herein is merely illustrative of the application of the principles of the present invention. For example, the functions described above for operating the present invention are for illustration purposes only. Other arrangements and methods may be implemented by those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1 1. A method for routing calls to a destination gateway to establish a communication
2 session call in a telecommunications network over a path supported at least in part by a telephone
3 network and an IP network. said IP network including a plurality of ingress and destination
4 gateways, at least one proxy server, and at least one redirect server (RS), said method comprising
5 the steps of:

6 a) receiving a call setup request at the at least one proxy server from a source user
7 agent;

8 b) forwarding the received call setup request to the redirect server to obtain
9 routing information;

10 c) responding to the forwarded call setup request received at the redirect server
11 by returning said routing information or a request failure response;

12 d) proxying the call setup request by the at least one proxy server to a destination
13 gateway selected from said routing information upon receiving the routing information from the
14 redirect server;

15 e) upon proxying the call setup request by the at least one proxy server to the
16 selected destination gateway, waiting for a response at the at least one proxy server from the
17 selected destination gateway;

18 f) upon said at least one proxy server receiving the response from the selected
19 destination gateway within a predetermined time, establishing a communication session using
20 said selected destination gateway; and

21 g) if the response is not received within the predetermined time, sending the
22 call setup request to a succeeding destination gateway selected from the routing information.

1 2. The method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising repeating steps (d) to (g)
2 until a destination gateway is determined to be available for establishing said communication
3 session or until all destination gateways from said routing information have been determined to
4 be unavailable.

1 3. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
2 recording a destination gateway status as unavailable if response from said
3 destination is not received within the predetermined time; and
4 recording a destination gateway status as unavailable if the response from said
5 selected destination indicator the destination gateway is unavailable.

1 4. The method as claimed in claim 3, wherein said step of recording records
2 said destination gateway status as out-of-service in a gateway information table stored within
3 the RS.

1 5. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said step of receiving a call setup
2 request at the at least one proxy server from a source user agent includes the step of addressing
3 said call setup request to a proxy address of the at least one proxy server.

1 6. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said step of receiving a call setup request
2 at the at least one proxy server from a source user agent includes the step of counting a number
3 of received requests subsequent to said call setup request at the at least one proxy server.

1 7. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the at least one proxy server
2 comprises a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy server.

1 8. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the at least one proxy server
2 comprises an H.323 gatekeeper.

1 9. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said step of responding to the
2 forwarded call setup request from said at least one proxy server received at the RS includes
3 determining the status of a group of destination gateways.

1 10. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the status of each of said group or
2 destination gateway is one of in-service and out-of-service.

1 11. The method as claimed in claim 10, wherein if the destination gateway status is
2 recorded as out-of-service in a gateway information table and its associated time value is greater
3 than a current absolute RS time, the gateway address is not added to a routing list of said routing
4 information.

1 12. The method as claimed in claim 10, wherein if the destination gateway status is
2 recorded as out-of-service in a gateway information table and its associated time value is less
3 than or equal to the current absolute RS time, the gateway address is added to a routing list of
4 said routing information and recorded as in-service.

1 13. The method as claimed in claim 10. further including the step of sending a
2 message from the RS to a network manager to record the status of a destination gateway.

1 14. The method as claimed in claim 1. further comprising the steps of forwarding a
2 request failure response to the source user agent upon receiving the request failure response from
3 the at least one proxy server. and terminating the communication session.

1 15. The method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of re-sending the
2 call setup request to the selected destination gateway a predetermined number of times when the
3 response is not received within the predetermined time.

1 16. A system for allowing a call to be completed in a communication session between
2 a calling party and a called party, which comprises:

3 a first telephony system including at least one service user agent (SUA);

4 a second telephony system including at least one destination user agent (DUA);

5 an IP network connected between said first and second telephone systems,

6 a plurality of ingress gateways for interfacing said IP network to said first
7 telephony system;

8 a plurality of egress gateways for interfacing said IP network to said second
9 telephony system;

10 an IP telephony proxy server for selecting one of said plurality of egress
11 gateways for completing said call;

12 an IP redirect server for providing routing information to said IP telephony
13 proxy server; and

14 a network management system for receiving and storing status changes of
15 destination gateways, said network management system being in communication with said IP
16 redirect server.

1 17. The system as claimed in claim 16, wherein the IP telephony proxy server is a
2 Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy server.

1 18. The system as claimed in claim 16, wherein the IP telephony proxy server
2 is an H.323 gatekeeper.

1 19. A method for detecting an available destination gateway from a plurality of
2 destination gateways in an IP network for completing a communication session between a calling
3 party and a called party, said method comprising the steps of:

4 a) transmitting a message to one of said plurality of destination gateways
5 from a server to ascertain an availability status of said one of said plurality of destination
6 gateways;

7 b) waiting for an acknowledge response from said one of said plurality of
8 destination gateways for a predetermined period of time;

9 c) determining if said one of said plurality of destination gateways is
10 available if said acknowledge response is received within said predetermined period of time and
11 indicates that the destination gateway is available; and

12 d) transmitting said message to a succeeding gateway of said plurality of
13 destination gateways.

1 20. The method as claimed in claim 19, further comprising repeating steps (b) to (d)
2 until the availability status of each of said plurality of destination gateways has been determined.

1 21. The method according to claim 19, wherein if said acknowledge response is not
2 received within a predetermined period of time, said availability status of said destination
3 gateway is said to be out-of-service.

1 22. The method according to claim 19. wherein if said one of said plurality of
2 destination gateways is determined to be available, then said availability status is determined to
3 be in-service.




FIG 1.





FIG. 2

Fig. 3






Fig. 4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US01/14272

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : H04 L 12/66

US CL : 370/356

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 370/352, 356, 902, 912; 379/900

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched
Please See Continuation SheetElectronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
East, STIC NPL, Internet**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

| Category * | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|------------|---|-----------------------|
| Y | SCHULZRINNE, H. A comprehensive multimedia control architecture for the Internet. 1997 IEEE, pages 65-76, especially pages 65-70. | 1-22 |
| Y | US 5,930,348 A (REGNIER et al) 27 July 1999, abstract; column 8, line 50 to column 10, line 15; column 11, lines 11-43. | 1-22 |
| Y | US 5,883,894 A (PATEL et al) 16 March 1999, abstract; column 5, lines 13-62. | 1-22 |
| Y | ROSENBERG, J. et al. Internet Telephony Gateway Location. 1998 IEEE, pages 488-496, especially pages 488-490. | 1-22 |
| Y | WEDLUND, E. et al. Mobility Support using SIP. 1999 Association for Computing Machinery, pages 76-82, especially pages 76-79. | 1-22 |

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T"

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&"

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

06 June 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

03 JUL 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Box PCT

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Hassan Kizou

Telephone No. (703) 805-3900

Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED - Item2: IEEE Network, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Communications Magazine, Microprocessors and Microsystems, Computer Communications, Computer Networks and ISDN Systems

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)